

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/608,618	BARROW, JONATHAN J.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Gregory D. Thompson	2835	

All Participants:

(1) Gregory D. Thompson.

(2) Mr. Huang.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 7 March 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

19-20

Prior art documents discussed:

Hoffman


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability (*see attachment*)
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claims 19-20 cancelled since the structure in Salmonson et al would read on the broad method claims as discussed with Mr. Huang with a common space defined between the board assemblies 12 and 13, coupling mechanism 32,39, connectors 24,25, first and second sinks 40 in the common space, backplane(panels) with the parrallel board assemblies secured in a fixed positon relative to the backplane. .